

Beware of Jewish Fables

By: William Norwood Hollis, M.A.

It is amazing at the number of teachings and admonitions in the Bible that seldom, if ever, are preached in the pulpits of our organized churches today! Christians are admonished to read the Bible for themselves -- not depending on the teachings of others for their spiritual edification. The Bible instructs us in II Timothy to:

"Study to shew thyself approved unto God, a workman that needeth not to be ashamed, rightly dividing the word of truth." (The Bible). **(2 Timothy 2:15)**

The key word here is "rightly." This is exactly what we intend doing. We intend using the WHOLE TRUTH. This can be done very simply by just believing that the Holy Bible is GOD's message to us, and that HE is both sovereign and merciful -- that HIS word is inspired by HIM for our benefit, and needs no "higher criticism," no "commentator", no "interpreter". This chapter heading, which will be quoted in its entirety shortly, is a case in point of telling the "whole truth". Certainly we all know what the words "beware", "Jewish", and "fables" mean!

This verse was given me one evening when I was looking in the back of one of the Bibles from my collection, on page No. 1224, found the following heading: "The English Bible and its Development." This caught my attention so I continued reading "THE HOLY SCRIPTURE ACCORDING TO THE MASORETIC TEXT: A NEW TRANSLATION, AND THE TORAH."

"In 1914, the Jewish Publication Society of America published a volume entitled, The Holy Scriptures, According to the **Masoretic:** ¹ A New Translation (exclusively of the Old Testament), **which has not exercised influence outside of Jewish circles.** ² In 1962, the same organization published a new translation of the Pentateuch, (the first five books of the Old Testament) with the simple title, "The Torah," which will be followed by the remaining portions of the Old Testament. The work has been carefully done, the result of the finest Hebrew (Jewish) scholarship available. **But there seems to be a deliberate attempt to make the Messianic predictions of the Pentateuch void of any Messianic (prophecy pertaining to Jesus Christ) meaning.**"

Now, in itself, this may not seem so important; but if one does an exegesis in the Bible of the word fable, then it suddenly becomes very significant. In doing so, you will find numerous references to fables such as the following:

¹³"This witness is true. Wherefore rebuke them sharply, that they may be sound in the faith; ¹⁴Not giving heed to **Jewish fables.** ³ and commandments of men, **that turn from the truth.**" (Titus 1)

That fables had been concocted is evident from II Peter 1:16, in which the Apostle states,

¹ "**The Fate of the Jews,**" Published in 1983 by Times Books, and written by Roberta Strauss Fuerlicht, in a footnote on page 8, states, "Biblical quotations are from the Holy Scriptures According to the Masoretic Text..." This shows that the Jews still use this translation of the scriptures.

² Emphasis - Editor.

³ Emphasis - Editor.

"For we have not followed **cunningly devised fables**, when we made known unto you the power and coming of our Lord Jesus Christ, but were eyewitnesses of his majesty." (2 Peter 1:16)

These admonitions were well taken since there are numerous records, both in scripture and secular history, which record such attempts. For example, in John 19:19, we find,

"And Pilate wrote a title, and put [it] on the cross. And the writing was, JESUS OF NAZARETH THE KING OF THE JEWS." (John 19:19)

Verse 20 reads,

"This title then read many of the Jews: for the place where Jesus was crucified was nigh to the city: and it was written in Hebrew, [and] Greek, [and] Latin." (John 19:20)

Verses 21 - 22:

²¹"Then said the chief priests of the Jews to Pilate, Write not, The King of the Jews; but that **he said, I am King of the Jews**. ²²Pilate answered, What I have written I have written." (John 19)

Now these Pharisaic Jews did not give up easily, and there were still many additional tricks (fables) up their sleeves. Since they could not get Pilate to go along with their scheme to place a lie on the cross of Jesus; they quickly devised another plan to produce still another fable.

After failing to get the inscription changed, and after Jesus Christ was taken from the Cross and laid in the tomb; they came back to Pilate with still another request. They told him that it was known among Christ's followers that HE was supposed to resurrect from the tomb on the third day, and to prevent any of HIS followers from stealing HIS body and concocting a story that Jesus had risen from the grave, they wanted to secure the tomb with a guard to prevent this sort of thing from happening. Pilate gave them permission to do so, and the Jews sealed it to their satisfaction, and hired a guard to watch the tomb twenty-four hours a day. (This is an interesting point, since the teaching I received was that Pilate put this guard at the tomb. He absolutely did not. The Jews did it!)

Then after all of the miraculous happenings at the tomb, which included Jesus' resurrection, some of the guards ran into the city, and told the chief priests and elders of the Jews who hired them, about these strange events. Then in Matthew 28:12-15, the following is recorded:

¹²"And when they were assembled with the elders, and had taken counsel, they gave large money unto the soldiers, ¹³Saying, **Say ye, His disciples came by night, and stole him [away] while we slept**. ¹⁴And if this come to the governor's ears, we will persuade him, and secure you. ¹⁵So they took the money, and did as they were taught: and **this saying (fable) is commonly reported among the Jews until this day**." (Matthew 28)

These are the things that fables are made of, and we are instructed in GOD's word to beware of them!

We have seen in the 19th chapter of the Book of John where Pilate wrote on the cross, "Jesus of Nazareth King of the Jews." Perhaps we should consider this accusation of Pilate's at this time so that we can see, in fact, if Jesus Christ was really the "King of the Jews." Or was HE even in the

truest sense a Jew? Is this another fable? These questions deserve to be answered, and the answers should come from the Holy Bible and not the press. As you will see elsewhere in this chapter, attempts have been made, and with much success, to alter definitions of certain words in our reference books, and to substitute certain words with entirely different meanings, for words which had held a particular meaning for centuries.

The effort to accomplish this feat was not expended accidentally. It was on purpose. The object, of course, was to totally confuse people, especially Christians, as to what constitutes a "Jew." Is Jewry a race, or a religion? Or both? If it is a religion, can the Jews be the "chosen seed of GOD?" What is the definition of "seed"? The understanding of the entire Bible hangs on the answers to these questions! None can be of greater importance. First, let us consider whether, or not, Jesus Christ was really the "King of the Jews?" The following quotations are lengthy; but in deference to the magnitude of the question, we will quote them in their entirety:

*"And Jesus stood before the governor: and the governor (Pilate) asked him, saying, Art thou the **King of the Jews**? And Jesus said unto him, **Thou sayest.**" (Matthew 27:11)* In other words, Jesus replied, "You said it. I didn't!"

*". . . and they bowed the knee before him, and **mocked** him, saying, **Hail, King of the Jews!**" (Matthew 27:29)*

Please note that these were Jews, who were in derision, hurling a title at Jesus which they, themselves, did not believe, nor did Jesus ever accede.

*"And set up over his head his **accusation** written, **THIS IS JESUS THE KING OF THE JEWS.**" (Matthew 27:37)*

Here again, the term "King of the Jews" is given by his enemies and it is an accusation. This means accused of being the King of the Jews, but he was never tried and convicted of this charge, and he never agreed to being such.

*"And Pilate asked him, Art thou the **King of the Jews**? And he answering said unto him, **Thou sayest [it].**" (Mark 15:2)*

Well, do we believe that Jesus Christ was GOD come in the flesh? If so, he cannot lie. What GOD's answer then? It was simply this: You said it Pilate, I didn't!

*"And began to salute him, **Hail, King of the Jews!**" (Mark 15:18)*

Again, these were Jews, and a few Romans who continued to mock Jesus. There is absolutely no revelation of truth in this statement -- that Jesus was Jew, or their King!

*"And the superscription of his **accusation was written** over, **THE KING OF THE JEWS.**" (Mark 15:26)*

This is another record of the **accusation**. Even in their law, a person was considered innocent until proven guilty. No one proved anywhere that Jesus was a Jew, much less their King.

*"But Pilate answered them, saying, Will ye that I release unto you the **King of the Jews**? " (Mark 15:9)*

Pilate is taunting them, because the Jews never called him their King. He was put out with the

Jews because they kept forcing Jesus upon him when he wanted nothing to do with their charges. You will recall, the Jews even tried to get Pilate to remove the sign, which he had placed on the cross **proclaiming** Jesus to be the "KING OF THE JEWS."

*"And Pilate answered and said again unto them, What will ye then that I shall do [unto him] whom **ye call** the **King of the Jews**?" (Mark 15:12)*

Pilate tried to wash his hands of the entire affair; but the Jews would not have it: therefore, Pilate continues to taunt them with a title which he very well knew they hated, and did not accept.

*"And saying, If thou be the **king of the Jews**, save thyself." (Luke 23:37)*

Well, I suppose we can say about this statement, that since Jesus Christ did not save himself, HE must not have been the KING OF THE JEWS, after all!

*"And a superscription also was written over him in letters of Greek, and Latin, and Hebrew, **THIS IS THE KING OF THE JEWS.**" (Luke 23:38)*

This is another account of what Pilate had written on the cross which the Jews objected to. They wanted it to read that Jesus said, "I AM THE KING OF THE JEWS." Of course, Pilate would not change the wording for them.

*³³Then Pilate entered into the judgment hall again, and called Jesus, and said unto him, Art thou the **King of the Jews**? ³⁴Jesus answered him, **Sayest thou this thing of thyself, or did others tell it thee of me?" (John 18)***

Jesus wants to know from whom Pilate hears this charge -- since it never came from HIM.

³⁵Pilate answered, Am I a Jew? Thine own nation (not race) and the chief priests have delivered thee unto me: what hast thou done?" (John 18)

Pilate is saying, it was not he that got Jesus into this trouble -- it was his own country and its religious leaders who did it.

*³⁶Jesus answered, My kingdom is not of this world: if my kingdom were of this world, then would my servants fight, that I should not be delivered to the **Jews**: but now is my kingdom not from hence." (John 18)*

Note that Jesus said, "**DELIVERED TO THE JEWS,**" and not, "delivered to **my people.**" Absolutely nowhere in the Bible does Jesus refer to the "Jews" as his people. Jesus also stated that **HIS Kingdom is not from the JEWS.**

³⁷Pilate therefore said unto him, Art thou a king then? (Not the King of the Jews -- just a King!) Jesus answered, Thou sayest that I am a king. To this end was I born . . ." (John 18)

You see, here Jesus is admitting to Pilate that HE is a King; but not the King of the Jews!

*"And said, **Hail, King of the Jews!** and they smote him with their hands." (John 19:3)*

Here again, this is all done in derision, and the title is hurled by the Jews in jest and anger!

"And Pilate wrote a title, and put [it] on the cross. And the writing was, JESUS OF NAZARETH THE KING OF THE JEWS." (John 19:19)

This is John's report of the same happening reported by Matthew, and commented on above.

"Then said the chief priests of the Jews to Pilate, Write not, THE KING OF THE JEWS; but that he said, I am the King of the Jews." (John 19:21)

This also was reported by Matthew, and in Luke 23, above. The Jews were trying trickery to get Pilate to change the wording on the cross from, "Jesus of Nazareth: The King of the Jews," to a declaration from Jesus stating, "I AM THE KING OF THE JEWS." This profound teaching is completely lost in the organized church's quest for togetherness.

You see, they don't want to offend anyone; but the gospel of Jesus Christ does offend. Christ repeatedly stated through HIS ministry that we are "either for ME (Jesus), or against ME." In the second Book of John, we are told that these non-believers are "anti-Christ", and "do not have them in your house, or bid them God-speed." This certainly does not sound like togetherness to me. Christ told these Pharisees, etc. who continually tried to entrap HIM, contended with HIM, and who finally killed HIM, *that they (anti-Christ) "were not HIS Sheep, not Abraham's Children, not of GOD," and that "they were of their father, the Devil."* Actually Jesus used much harsher terms than these in describing them.

Remember, Christ is GOD incarnate; therefore HE certainly knew the truth about these people and consequently had many hard things to say about them. To tell a certain religious group of people in our midst that they "do not have JEHOVAH-GOD" is certainly a hard teaching, and to add further that HIS "wrath is on them to the uttermost," will seldom, if ever be preached from our pulpits today; but nevertheless it is true, and is found in your Bible!

So insipid is the organized church's teachings that rarely do they disfellowship anyone: whoremongers, fornicators, boozers, liars, embezzlers, child abusers, or even members who belong to anti-Christian organizations. (The Bible defines them.) To the contrary, this latter group is usually singled out for honors within the church.

In the Sunday, September 30, 1990 edition of the Houston Chronicle was a front-page story about a famous local Call House Madam who is writing an autobiography. In it she states that when she was at the apex of her career some three decades ago, and in the news constantly because of her relationship with several local governmental officials, she was teaching Sunday school in a large local Baptist Church. She had given \$30,000 to purchase an organ for the church. After the publicity broke regarding her affair with a certain elected official, and her profession, she went to the church officials and offered to resign both from the church and from her position; but they refused to let her do it. To my knowledge, the Protestant Church does not disfellowship anyone.

Mark 15:32 tells us,

*"Let Christ the **King of Israel** descend now from the cross . . ." (Mark 15:32)*

The Apostle Matthew tells us in the second chapter, sixth verse,

"And thou Bethlehem, [in] the land of Juda, art not the least among the princes of Juda: for out of thee shall come a **Governor, that shall rule my people Israel.**"
(Matthew 2:6)

As you can see, Jesus Christ was the King of Israel, not the King of the Jews. Also, you can easily see that the Jews are not Israel by the above scriptures which are underlined. Christ has never ruled over the Jews, nor has HIS word "fed" them. A king must have a kingdom. A kingdom must have subjects (people). The subjects must be ruled (governed). This verse alone proves that the Jews are not Israel, because Christ's Word (GOD in the flesh) has never been believed by them nor do they study it. Absolutely nowhere in the scriptures did Jesus ever indicate that HE was a Jew. Also, nowhere does it call the Jews, Israel. If Pilate had written what the Jews wanted him to write on the cross -- that Jesus said, "I AM THE KING OF THE JEWS," it would have been a lie: and would have robbed Jesus of HIS messiahship as the past two thousand years have testified to the fact that Jesus Christ was not, and is not, "THE KING OF THE JEWS."

I believe that I have adequately proved **that Jesus never confessed to being a Jew; nor does the Bible state that he was one -- much less their king!** Do you believe now that Jesus Christ was, and is, the KING OF THE JEWS? To me it is obvious from the scriptures that HE was not! If not -- then, was Jesus Christ even a JEW? **Within the confines of the contemporary definition and understanding of the term "Jew," JESUS CHRIST WAS CERTAINLY NOT A JEW!**⁴ However, if we use the First Century definition and understanding of the term, Jesus could technically have been called a "Jew" for the first two years of his life since HE was born in Bethlehem, a small village some four miles south of Jerusalem, Judea. **At this time, the most understood meaning of the term "Jew" was a resident of Judea, with little or no racial meaning whatever!**

Racially, Jesus was a Hebrew of the tribe of Yehudah (Judah), one of the twelve tribes of ISRAEL (Jacob) who were "chosen" by JEHOVAH-GOD for "HIS glory on earth," and to be a "blessing" to the other nations (people). Therefore, since the term "Jew" at this time did not carry the weight of one who was "a member of the tribe of Judah," Jesus could truthfully only have been called a "Jew" during the first two years of his life when HE lived in Bethlehem, Judea. Since HE was then a resident of Judea, he could have been called a "JEW."

However, after receiving word that King Herod would search out all of the male babies under two years of age and kill them to eliminate any possible future competition to his rein, Joseph took Mary and baby Jesus into Egypt until it was safe to return to Judea. Even then they did not settle in Judea; but rather traveled north into Samaria and settled in the village of Nazareth, Galilee. From this time on, Jesus was properly known as either a Samaritan, a Nazarene, or a Galilean, since he lived his entire life, with the exception of the first two years, in this area which was northeast of both Judea and Samaria.

If you lived the first two years of your life in New York, and then brought to Texas and raised there until you were thirty years old, would you be called a New Yorker or a Texan? You know the answer as well as I, and that is why Jesus was called a Galilean and not a Jew!

Since "the religion of the Jews" at the time of Christ was practiced largely by a racial polyglot of people **who were called "Jews" simply because of their residence in Judea**, and for the most part they did not have "ears to hear" Christ's teachings; they were contentious of HIM. You see, if we use the term "Jew" with its literal meaning at the time Jesus was debating the "Jews" in Jerusalem; then he

⁴ See "Is Jesus A Jew", by the author.

certainly was not a Jew because he did not live in Judea, and he incontrovertibly **was not a Jew under the present definition of the term: i.e. "one who embraces the religion of Judaism,"** because Christ did not ever subscribe to their religion!

Who then, was the "King of the Jews", since Jesus Christ certainly was not and never agreed to wear this derisive mantle? Again, God's word -- the Bible -- gives us the answer. **HEROD, AN IDOUMEAN (EDOMITE) converted Jew, wore the title, "King of the Jews"**. You may recall the news imparted to King Herod from the "Wise Men" was that "a governor" would come (born) from Bethlehem to, "rule the people, ISRAEL." Israel was not in Judea at this time since the Ten Tribes of ISRAEL (not Jews) had been taken to Assyria as captives in 721 B.C., and never returned to Palestine. The Bible, as well as numerous secular historical records bears out this fact. There is no record of Israelites in the New Testament, living in Palestine other than from the tribes of Judah and Benjamin.

Please note that the scriptures do not state that Jesus was to rule over the Jews (which of course he never did); but rather over "HIS people ISRAEL." This portion of the scriptures alone should be enough to cause anyone reading it to begin to suspect that the Jews are not Israel; because Christ has neither ruled, or fed (meaning the Word) the Jews. Therefore, it would certainly follow that they are not Israel, or else Jesus Christ came in vain since the Jews, as a race, have totally rejected him. We can state this positively because Jesus, HIMSELF, stated in Matthew 15:24, that:

*" . . . I am not sent but unto the lost sheep of the **house of Israel.**"*
(**Matthew 15:24**)

So we have seen here the making of another fable, "Jesus Christ, the King of the Jews." A title given in derision, mockery, and taunting, has in contemporary times gained credit in the "Establishment"⁵ Christian Church; because of the campaign inaugurated by the Jews in the 17th century to interchange the words "Hebrew" and "Israel" for the term "**JEW.**"⁶

Folks, these fables and deceits did not begin with the wording on the cross, or the soldiers at the tomb! These people were against Jesus from the very beginning, and if you can believe the Bible, they are still against HIM. The second chapter of Matthew tells us that when Herod, the "King of the Jews," heard about the birth of Jesus he was worried about possible future competition, and sought to eliminate his envisioned threat. He, therefore, called the "Wise Men" to him to learn where the baby Jesus could be found, and charged them that they should

*" . . . Go and search diligently for the young child; and when ye have found [him], bring me word again, **that I may come and worship him also.**"* (**Matthew 2:8**)

Here is another deceit; **another fable**, and perpetrated by the "KING OF THE JEWS," **who intended to kill Baby Jesus, not "worship HIM."** But GOD is not "deceived" nor is HE to be "mocked"; therefore after the "Wise Men" found the Saviour, worshiped HIM, and brought HIM gifts, they departed "unto their own country another way."

*"And when they were departed, behold, the angel of the Lord appeareth to Joseph in a dream, saying, Arise, and take the young child and his mother, and flee into Egypt, and be thou there until I bring thee word: **for Herod will seek the young child to destroy him.**"* (**Matthew 2:13**)

⁵ Search the web for the words "church 501c3 corporation".

⁶ Encyclopedia Judaica.

You see, we can't fool the LORD. HE knows what is in our hearts and minds, and knows also that "what we do, speaks so loudly . . . HE can't hear what we say." Such as the case of Herod, because in the following verse we learn what was in King Herod's heart:

*"**Then Herod**, when he saw that he was mocked of the wise men, **was exceeding wrath**, and sent forth, and slew all the children that were in Bethlehem, and in all the coasts thereof, from two years old and under, . . . "* (Matthew 2:16)

No, the cunning deceits and fables of those who, according to JEHOVAH GOD's word are "against" HIM, did not end there! They are still at it with the same enthusiasm and zeal that marked the efforts, and final success, of the Pharisaical Jews who "killed the prophets," (Romans 11:3; "killed the LORD Jesus," (I Thessalonians, 2:15) and persecuted us **Christians**.⁷

An article in the Saturday, September 27, 1969 edition of the Phoenix Gazette, (page 3) records the origin of another fable:

"His Failure To Cooperate Cited"

JEWISH EFFORT TO SAVE JESUS FROM EXECUTION TOLD

By: George W. Cornell

NEW YORK (AP) "Jewish officials sought to save Jesus from Roman execution, but he wouldn't cooperate, says a noted authority on First Century Jewish and Roman law.

"That's the latest conclusion about an old but still pondered judicial proceeding that has echoed through history.

"The new and probing analysis of the case comes from Justice Haim Cohn (a Jew) of Israel's Supreme Court. His findings, presented in the Israel Law Review, were published in Hadassah magazine in this country.

"He maintains that the questioning of Jesus by the Jewish council, the Sanhedrin, on the night before his trial and condemnation by the Roman occupation government, **was an unsuccessful effort to find grounds for saving his life**.

"Nothing could have been further from their intentions, or more harmful to their purpose, than to arouse the discontent and disaffection of the people by lending a hand to **the execution by the Romans of one in their midst**.

"On the other hand, any action on their part to prevent such an execution would, if successful, have been likely to arouse popular applause and to reinstate them in the eyes of the people as their natural and legitimate leaders."

The article goes on to state that Justice **Cohn**⁸ said that if Jesus had not replied in the affirmative to their question, "asking if HE was Christ, the Son of the Blessed," that they could have saved HIM,

⁷ See "**False Messiahs**", by the author.

⁸ Please note that the author of this article, "Justice Cohn," a Jew, does not believe the New Testament scriptures to be GOD's word.

and that this is the reason they asked the question! In other words, if Jesus had lied, and denied that HE was the Christ, they could have saved HIM! This conjecture is so far from the truth (if we believe the Bible) that it deserves our utmost contempt. This is nothing but an attempt to create another fable, since this is opposite from what the Scriptures tell us. Listen:

⁴"Then said Pilate to the chief priests and [to] the people, (the Jews) I find no fault in this man.
⁵And they were the more fierce, saying, He stirreth up the people, (Jews) teaching throughout all Jewry, beginning from Galilee to this place." (Luke 23)

¹⁵"No, nor yet Herod: for I sent you to him; and, lo, nothing worthy of death is done unto him. ¹⁶I will therefore chastise him, and release [him]. ¹⁷(For of necessity he must release one unto them (Jews) at the feast.) ¹⁸And they (Jews) cried out all at once, saying, Away with this [man], (Jesus) . . ." (Luke 23)

²⁰"Pilate therefore, willing to release Jesus, spake again to them (Jews). ²¹But they (the Jews) cried, saying, Crucify [him] (Jesus), crucify him. ²²**And he said unto them the third time, Why, what evil hath he done? I have found no cause of death in him: I will therefore chastise him, and let [him] go.** ²³And they (the Jews) were instant with loud voices, **requiring that he might be crucified.** And the voices of them (the Jews) and of the chief priests prevailed. ²⁴**And Pilate gave sentence that it should be as they required."** (Luke 23)

Folks, this is all you need to know about who crucified Christ. We have been told down through the years by the "church," by the "Jews," and by various articles appearing in the press from time to time -- various conflicting accounts of this singular historical event: "The Romans did it." "The Pharisees did it; but they did not represent the Jewish people." And now we hear that "the Sanhedrin had no intentions of crucifying Christ," that they were "looking for grounds" on which to let HIM go. This is absolutely not true, however, it is important in that it proves that they had Jesus' life in their hands.

Please refer to the fourth verse quoted above from the Bible, and you will see that Pilate addressed his remarks to "the chief priests **and to the people.**" Pilate tried unsuccessfully, three times, to release Jesus to "the Jews," after finding "no fault in him," and they refused. Again, this proves that the Jews, all of them in attendance, had the power to save Jesus' life had they wished to do so! But they did not. Instead, they were "more fierce" in demanding his death. Then after having tried twice to release Christ Jesus to the Jews, Pilate, for the third time, asked them "what evil hath he done?" And then as a final gesture to letting Jesus go, Pilate said, "I will therefore chastise him, and let him go." But the Jews were not having any of this! They immediately, all at once, yelled in loud voices, "CRUCIFY HIM, CRUCIFY HIM." And the 24th verse states, "AND PILATE GAVE SENTENCE THAT IT SHOULD BE **AS THEY REQUIRED.**" And we all know that "they" were the Jews, and we most certainly know the punishment they requested for Jesus! IT IS MOST IMPORTANT THAT CHRISTIANS CONTINUE TO READ THIS ACCOUNT OF THE CRUCIFIXION IN THE SCRIPTURES, LEST WE FALL FOR ANOTHER "JEWISH FABLE."

And we won't have long to wait. It seems that they are springing up with regularity in recent times. In the Houston Chronicle, Saturday, July 16, 1988, the following headline is found on page 24, section 1:

CHURCHES' TEACHINGS PARTIALLY CONTRIBUTED
TO HOLOCAUST, GROUP SAYS

LONDON (AP)- "A conference on the Holocaust accused Christian churches of anti-Jewish teaching Friday, and one U.S. expert said the tradition helps explain why Nazi genocide went unchecked in Christian Germany.

"The statement concluded a week-long gathering of 500 academics, concentration camp survivors, theologians and teachers . . .

"The conference was sponsored by publisher Robert [Maxwell](#),⁹ 65, a Czechoslovak-born Jew who fled to Britain as a teenager, and his French Protestant wife, Elizabeth."

Folks, it is very important to keep in mind at all times that the person who called this group together was a Jew, and that many of those in attendance (concentration camp survivors) were Jews. Of equal importance, it is absolutely necessary for one to remember Jesus' teaching on this subject. He told us that "if you are not for me, you are against me." The Apostle John reminded us in different words; but with the same message, when he said in **II John, Chapter 1**: "This is a deceiver and an antichrist," who does not believe in Jesus Christ.

What else could you expect from a conference, which was called by antichrist people, and was heavily seeded with more of them? My guess would be that the conference was called for the very purpose of which the newspaper headline so blatantly proclaims! We know that this is another attempt to promote a rather shoddy fable; because we know that **the Scriptures quoted above plainly show that it was the Jews who demanded Christ's crucifixion, saw to it that HE was crucified, and then hired the guards to seal the tomb after he was buried. ANY CONCOCTED STORY TO THE CONTRARY ... IS ANOTHER FABLE!**

Also, in the Houston Chronicle (September, 1990) there was an article which told how a similar "committee" was pressuring the townsfolk in Oberammergau, who have been faithfully producing the "Passion Play" every ten years since 1634, to change some of their script and staging. Like the newspaper article quoted above, this is another attempt to alter facts recorded in the Holy Bible by relieving the Jews of their responsibility in the crucifixion of our Saviour! The article stated that instead of everyone in attendance shouting "crucify him...crucify him..." they wanted only a handful to shout their approval. Of course, this is not what the scriptures say what happened! **This is simply another antichrist action, and we are cautioned in the Scriptures to "BEWARE OF JEWISH FABLES!"**

In a book entitled, "**The Outline of Knowledge**," by Frederick H. Martens, and published by the J. A. Richards, Inc., New York, 1924, in a chapter entitled "Religion of Hebrews and Judaism," page 140, the following is found:

"Many religious teachers and revolutionaries against the orthodox party of the Pharisees besides the Christ were crucified during the Roman administrations--but not by the Romans! **The Jews did the crucifying.**

"The Gospels show quite clearly that Pilate gave in to the crucifixion of Jesus **because the Jews wanted it, and that he was not in favor of the holy zeal which led orthodox Jews to nail everyone up who disagreed with their doctrines.**"

⁹ Robert Maxwell filed bankruptcy in December, 1991. He was later being investigated for having raided his employees' pension funds, and disappeared from his yacht while at sea and has not been seen since.

There are several points of interest here. The first, of course, is the title of the chapter just quoted. "**Religion of Hebrews and Judaism.**" The author separates the religion of the Hebrews-Israelites (GOD's Chosen) from the "religion of the Jews" (Judaism). Since Jesus Christ could certainly tell the difference, all one has to do to find this out is to read and study the New Testament which is full of Jesus' teachings!

Secondly, we learn that it was not Jesus Christ only, whom the Jews crucified -- that it was their practice to do likewise to countless others who opposed Judaism, "the religion of the Jews." One would assume, then, that if the Jews were innocent of the blood of Jesus, they would likewise be innocent of the blood shed by all others whom they crucified.

Thirdly, we learn here that the author believed what the Bible says regarding this event. We have shown earlier in this chapter where the Bible says that Pilate turned Jesus Christ over to the Jews. They were the ones who wanted his blood -- not Pilate or the Roman Government!

Matthew 27 should settle the question:

*22" Pilate saith unto them, What shall I do then with Jesus which is called Christ? [They] all say unto him, Let him be crucified. 23And the governor said, Why, what evil hath he done? But they cried out the more, saying, Let him be crucified. 24When Pilate saw that he could prevail nothing, but [that] rather a tumult was made, he took water, and washed [his] hands before the multitude, saying, I am innocent of the blood of this just person: see ye [to it]. 25Then answered all the people, and said, **His blood [be] on us, and on our children.** 26Then released he Barabbas unto them: and when he had scourged Jesus, he delivered [him] to be crucified."*
(Matthew 27)

An event is recorded in "**The Outline Of Knowledge**" which took place in the first century B.C., in Palestine, which was the scene of a struggle between warring Jewish factions. We learn of this confusion from the following quote:

"Often the Jews of both parties could not tell just what GOD wanted them to do. During one of the sieges of Jerusalem a good priest by the name of Onias, who had not fled with a number of his richer countrymen to Egypt, was found by the besiegers. He had a reputation for making successful prayers. So the besieging Jews asked him to put up a prayer against the Jewish besieged, and lay a curse on them. The poor fellow prayed as follows: 'Oh GOD, LORD of the universe, those who stand with me are THY people, and the besieged are THY priests. I pray THEE that THOU hearken not to these against those nor those against these.' **The Jews who made him pray, stoned him to death!**"

In the book, **History of the Christian Church**, by George Park Fisher, D.D., LL.D., on page fifteen, we find recorded the beginnings of many more Jewish Fables:

"Outside of Palestine, at Alexandria, arose a peculiar type of Jewish theology, in which **the Platonic¹⁰ philosophy was curiously blended with Old Testament teaching.** This was accomplished through the allegorical interpretations of the Scriptures. At Alexandria the books which we call apocryphal were taken up into

¹⁰ Plato: An early Greek philosopher (3rd century, B.C.) who espoused a form of socialism.

Published by: First Century Christian Ministries at <http://www.angloisrael.com>

the Old Testament canon. One of them, The Wisdom of Solomon, was written to commend the Alexandrian theology to the Jews of Palestine. The principal expounder of the Alexandrian Jewish philosophy was Philo, (a Jew) who was born about 20 B.C."

An interesting observation by the author is made on page fourteen of this same book: "The loss of political unity (by the Jews) had the effect to tighten the bands of race and of religion."

Please get the significance of this statement. **It means that a planned effort was made by the Jews to have their religion identified as their race, and their race identified as their religion!**

Now, who among you believe that all attempts to concoct fables (or change historical facts) ended here; that during the next 2000 years we have had a complete change in human nature, and that no more fables have been invented? Christians know better than this, because we know that only when a person is "Born again" through his faith and belief in JESUS CHRIST AS HIS SAVIOUR, do old habits "pass away, and all things become new." **Christians also know that those non-believers in Jesus Christ have the "spirit of error," and therefore are "against Christ" and HIS teachings!**

And every spirit that confesseth not that Jesus Christ is come in the flesh is not of God: and this is that [spirit] of antichrist, whereof ye have heard that it should come; and even now already is it in the world. (1 John 4:3)

We are of God (Christians): he that knoweth God heareth us; he that is not of God heareth not us. Hereby know we the spirit of truth, and the spirit of error. (1 John 4:6)

We can know, then, that many, many fables and "tightenings" must have been fabricated over the millennia, and it is our purpose here to call a few of them to your attention so that you will know that "all Scripture is given for our benefit." However, since no Scripture has been given Christians since the death of the Apostles, we must look to secular history for these attempts to create "fables" by altering the facts of history.

THE GREATEST FABLE EVER TOLD

As you will learn in the chapter "**Webster and the Term Jew**," **the term "Jew" was used to define the people who embraced Judaism, "the religion of the Jews," from the time of the Diaspora (70 A.D.) until the 17th century. They had never been called anything else!** But with the invention of the Gutenberg Press, books became available to even the common people -- the result being more people learned to read and write! For the first time in the history of the world, the definitions of words became of prime importance for a great number of the world's people, as earlier "dictionaries of antiquity were made by and for a learned class and were really glossaries or partial dictionaries." The first English dictionary in 1604 contained but 3,000 hard words. By 1630, an English lexicographer named Nathan Bailey, had published the Dictionarium Britannicum, which had expanded the word list to 60,000 words.

According to the **Encyclopedia Judaica**, for early Christians "the word '**Judaeus**'¹¹ was conflated with the name of the villain of the gospel story, Judas Iscariot, who was considered the typical Jew." When it became necessary to define the term in early dictionaries, terms such as "extortionate usurer," "driver of hard bargains," and "to overreach," were used in describing a "Jew". These

¹¹ The Latin word for a resident of Judea, which was translated into the English word, JEW.

unflattering terms persisted down to the early part of the present century. For example in "**The New Century Dictionary of the English Language**," published by D. Appleton-Century Company, London, New York, 1936, the following definition of the term "Jew" is found:

"One of the tribe of Judah. Orig., a Hebrew of the tribe or kingdom of Judah; later any one belonging to the Hebrew race or religion: a Hebrew; and Israelite: also, in opprobrious (abusive: disrespectful) use, an allusion to practices popularly ascribed to the Jews, an extortionate money lender, tricky dealer, or sharp bargainer-jew, v.t. To overreach or cheat; also to beat (down) in price."

The **Encyclopedia Judaica** states that,

"Many attempts to root out these derogatory meanings by having the dictionary definitions revised have been made in the United States, England, and Europe."

The Jews continued their campaign to eradicate bad connotations of the term, "JEW", and in the 19th century finally hit upon a winning combination. The Encyclopedia Judaica (the encyclopedia of the Jews) tells us that,

"In order to avoid the unwelcome associations and connotations of the word (Jew), Jews began in the 19th century to call themselves HEBREWS AND ISRAELITES." ¹²

Aided by the advent of radio networks, motion pictures-theater chains, television networks, newspaper and magazine chains, **the Jews' subsequent monopoly in these fields**; most of our contemporary reference books simply give the definition of the term, "Jew" as "an Israelite." Most all organized religions now teach that the contemporary Jew is the ancient Israelite in the Bible -- GOD's Chosen! How strange indeed, this is, when **the Bible differentiates between the House of Judah, and the House of Israel throughout the entire Bible!**

And so, we have another fable. You have seen it unfold before your eyes. You have seen how the term "Jew" translated into the English from the Latin **JUDAEUS**, ¹³ evolved into a synonym for the terms "Israel," and "Hebrew" (racial terms for the seed of Abraham-Isaac-Jacob), and you have learned who concocted and promulgated this fable, or hoax! You have also learned that JEHOVAH-GOD admonished us to "beware of Jewish fables."

THE SECOND GREATEST FABLE

We have seen how the term "Jew" has evolved from its earlier definition as being equated with Judas Iscariot, the traitor to Jesus Christ, to "Jesus Christ-the greatest Jew," or "the people who gave us our Christian religion."

On most any Sunday morning, you can tune in your radio or television to a "Christian" program, a pastor of some local church, or even a "worldwide" evangelist. Regardless of who they are, they are sure to refer to our "Judeo-Christian" religion. This, of course, indicates or attempts to affirm that our Christian religion evolved from Judaism, "the religion of the Jews". **What this really confirms, however, is the fact that these preachers are all reading the "same mail," with little, or no original**

¹² Capitalization for emphasis: Editor.

¹³ JUDAEUS -Latin for an inhabitant of Judea.

thinking or research on their own.

THE TERM "JUDEAO-CHRISTIANITY" IS ANOTHER FABLE -- OR HOAX, THAT IS PERPETRATED UPON OUR CHRISTIAN SOCIETY BY THE SAME RELIGIOUS GROUP AND BY THE SAME MEANS AS HAVE BEEN EMPLOYED TO MAKE THE TERM "JEW" MEAN A HEBREW-ISRAELITE!

Christianity and Judaism are 180 degrees apart. There is absolutely no similarity between the two whatever. The term "Judeo-Christianity" is a complete fabrication, and we will prove it. That is -- if you believe the Bible says what it means, and means what it says.

First, let me say that Christianity is derived from the teachings of Christ, just as Buddhism is from the teachings of Buddha, and Mohammedism is the teachings of Mohammed. They did not evolve from anything else. Jesus Christ abhorred Judaism, "the religion of the Jews." He contended with the "Jews" and their religion at every opportunity. HE told us exactly what HE thought of Judaism in Matthew 23:15:

Woe unto you, scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! for ye compass sea and land to make one proselyte, and when he is made, ye make him twofold more the child of hell than yourselves.
(**Matthew 23:15**)

The Pharisees, of course, were the "church leaders-Pastors" of Judaism, "the religion of the Jews." This means, then, that when these religious leaders converted a person into their religion, Judaism, they were making him "twofold more the child of hell . . ." than themselves, and of course the Pharisees, themselves, were "children of hell." Therefore, Herod, the "King of the Jews", a converted Idumean, and the Persians who "became Jews" in the Book of Esther, etc., were all "children of hell," according to Jesus Christ.

In the 7th chapter of the book of Mark, Jesus is denouncing Judaism, "the religion of the Jews." In the 5th verse, the Pharisees (Jewish church leaders) asked Jesus,

*Then the Pharisees and scribes asked him, Why walk not thy disciples according to **the tradition of the elders**, but eat bread with unwashen hands? (**Mark 7:5**)*

With a scathing rebuke,

He answered and said unto them, Well hath Esaias prophesied of you hypocrites, as it is written, This people honoureth me with [their] lips, but their heart is far from me.
(**Mark 7:6**)

Jesus Christ then completely rejects the Jews' religion in the 7th and 8th verses:

⁷*Howbeit in **vain** do they (Jews) worship me, teaching [for] doctrines the commandments of men.*
⁸*For laying aside the commandment of God, ye hold the tradition of men, [as] the washing of pots and cups: and many other such like things ye do. (**Mark 7**)*

Folks, Judaism, "the religion of the Jews," was based on the "tradition of men" in Christ's time, and it still is today. (This point will be dealt with shortly.) The Jews are still "washing pots" and eating "Kosher" foods. This doesn't seem like Christianity to me, does it you?

Secondly, the early standard bearers of JEHOVAH-GOD in the Bible were men such as Moses, who is credited with writing the first five books of the Old **Testament**.¹⁴ Saul, David, Solomon, Elijah, etc., all were Hebrews -- not Jews. They are the ones who gave us our monotheistic religion -- not Jews! The entire Old Testament is literally filled with stories of their faith in JEHOVAH-GOD.

If Christianity evolved from another type of worship, it was from the worship of JEHOVAH-GOD -- the GOD of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob (Israel). The great I AM -- JHVH -- in the Holy Bible! It is this GOD, whom Christians worship, and none other. It is this GOD who appeared in the flesh in the form of Jesus Christ, of Nazareth some two thousand years ago. It was this same Jesus Christ, the Galilean, who said "I AND MY FATHER ARE ONE." (**John 10:30**) This is both the GOD and the person, Jesus Christ, from which Christianity came. It certainly did not evolve from Judaism, "the religion of the Jews." We can further know this from the simple fact that the Bible tells us (those who believe it) that the Jews do not have this JEHOVAH-GOD, the GOD of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob -- the ONE whom we Christians worship through his Son, Jesus Christ. This simple fact (although seemingly hidden from the "Establishment church") is found in I John, listen . . .

²²Who is a liar but he that denieth that Jesus is the Christ? He is antichrist, that denieth the Father and the Son. ²³Whosoever denieth the Son, the same hath not the Father: [(but) he that acknowledgeth the Son hath the Father also]. (1 John 2)

Folks, can we possibly believe this? CAN WE REALLY BELIEVE THE BIBLE, AND TRUST WHAT IT SAYS, IN SPITE OF WHAT BOTH THE WORLD AND THE "ESTABLISHMENT" CHURCH TELL US TO THE CONTRARY? They tell us that the Jews have the same JEHOVAH-GOD that we Christians have; but as you have seen -- they do not. The scriptures say, no SON - no FATHER. No JESUS CHRIST - no JEHOVAH-GOD, The FATHER!

According to this plain, simple teaching in the Bible, the Jews do not have the SON (JESUS CHRIST), therefore they do not have HIS FATHER (JEHOVAH-GOD). If not, then how could Christianity, the religion of Jesus Christ, the "only begotten Son" of JEHOVAH-GOD, have evolved from Judaism, "the religion of the Jews", who, according to the Bible, DO NOT HAVE JESUS CHRIST OR HIS FATHER, JEHOVAH-GOD? To say that it did simply does not make any sense at all! It becomes very apparent to those of us who believe the Bible means what it says, then, that the god whom the Jews worship, has to be a god other than JEHOVAH-GOD, the GOD of Abraham, Isaac, Jacob (Israel), and his son Judah, from whom our Saviour, Jesus Christ was descended.

Also, it is both a Biblical and an historical fact that Judaism, "the religion of the Jews," is a product of the Eastern Babylonian religion, and was brought back to Jerusalem by them after their 5th century captivity of some seventy years duration. According to the Bible and secular histories, only some 43,000 returned out of approximately 1.5 million captives. The remainder chose to stay in Babylon which had been defeated by Cyrus The Great whose proclamation had made them free.

The small band of Hebrews who made the journey back to Jerusalem had a strong admixture of "foreign" blood in their veins from intermarrying their captors for two generations. Thus upon their return, they brought with them a new religion, JUDAISM; a new bible, THE BABYLONIAN TALMUD; a new religious structure, THE SYNAGOGUE; and a new name, "JEWS". These are

¹⁴ The Houston Chronicle, Sunday, October 14, 1990, contains a book review by Earl L. Dachslager. The title of the book is, "**THE BOOK OF J**", and is a new translation of the Pentateuch (Torah in Hebrew, first five books of the Old Testament), by Author David Rosenberg, and interpreted by Harold Bloom, two Jews, who contend that these five treasured books were not written by Moses at all; but by a woman, and that they should be considered simply as "literature." (Another fable in the making.)

the components of "the religion of the Jews." This religion, based on the "traditions of the elders," is the religion which Jesus Christ (GOD in the flesh) rejected. To say that the teachings of Jesus Christ, the author of Christianity, is a product of Judaism, is not only absurd; but is HERETICAL. For two thousand years it was simply Christianity, and the Anglo-Saxon, Celtic, and kindred tribes who adopted it as their religion were known the world over as "Christendom". Even the Sabbath, was, in the fourth century, moved to the first day of the week, Sunday, in order for Christ's religion to be as far removed from Judaism, and those who observed the Sabbath (the seventh day of the week), as possible! Why then, all of this deliberate hype of the term, "Judeo-Christianity?" I can only tell you this -- that when the "world" promotes something, look out! Even though it may be made to appear good -- it is probably bad. Bad for Jesus Christ and HIS followers!

It has been our purpose to support our thesis for this work, "the Hebrew-Israelites in the Bible is basically not the Jew in the Bible, nor the Jew basically the Hebrew-Israelite," with 100% legitimate reference material. The Holy Bible, Webster's Dictionary, The Encyclopedia Britannica, The Encyclopedia Judaica, Biblical Concordances, etc. which can hardly be considered the "Underground Press." However, a letter came to my attention recently which bears directly on the subject at hand, and I feel that it is worth quoting here. I do so at the risk of being called a bigot, and anti-Semitic, etc.; but folks, I believe this letter to be true and has a direct bearing on the subject at hand:

"For there are many unruly and vain talkers and deceivers, specially they of the circumcision (Jews): whose mouths must be stopped, who subvert whole houses, teaching things which they ought not, for filthy lucre's (money) sake. One of themselves, even a prophet of their own, said, The Cretians are always liars, evil beasts, slow bellies. (Christians), this witness is true. Wherefore rebuke them, (Christians), sharply, that they may be sound in the faith; not giving heed to Jewish fables, and commandments of men (traditions of the Elders), that turn from the truth. Unto the pure all things are pure: but unto them that are defiled **and unbelieving** is nothing pure; but even their mind and conscience is defiled. They profess that they know GOD; but in works they deny HIM, being abominable, and disobedient, and unto every good work reprobate."

You may be thinking that the person who wrote this letter may not know what he is talking about; but I can tell you he does. I would not quote him otherwise! He is an author of world renown. He has written many books. In fact if you deducted the books which he authored for just one publication, you will discard almost half of its twenty-seven books. Perhaps by now you have guessed this prominent writer. If you guessed that it is the Apostle Paul; you are correct. You may read this letter in its entirety in the Book of Titus in the New Testament.

Now most of the pastors of the "Establishment Churches" will hasten to say (as they do in many other clear teachings in the Bible) that Paul was speaking only to those Jews in Jerusalem at the time it was written, and has no application to present day Jewry. However, in this same chapter preceding this teaching on "those of the circumcision," Paul gives us the qualifications for Bishops and Elders, and I have yet to hear one of these preachers state that these qualifications and instructions were just for those Bishops and Elders in the first century, and has no application or meaning for our present day church leaders! To do so, would be pure poppycock, as is trying to tell us that when Paul said that the Jews "are deceivers," that they "subvert whole houses," that they "teach things they ought not," (falsehoods for money) pertained only to the Jews in Paul's day.

If your preacher tells you this ask him if "the clouds opened and a voice from heaven boomed,

commanding him to adhere to the teaching of the qualifications for the Deacons and Elders, and forget about one pertaining to the Jews?" As you can see, folks, this simply won't wash! "ALL SCRIPTURE IS GIVEN FOR OUR INSTRUCTION." **Every time a preacher, or anyone for that matter, uses the term Judeo-Christianity they are promoting another "Jewish Fable,"** and we already have learned what GOD has to say about these fables!

Another reason I wanted to insert this quotation from Paul's letter to Titus is that since the Apostle had once studied to be a Pharisee, one could hardly call him Anti-Semitic -- now could they? Though he certainly would be deemed one today! However, if I had simply changed a few words from those quoted in Paul's letter, to throw you off a bit more as to the author, and had signed my name to the [document](#) ¹⁵ -- I do believe that I would have been deemed to be such!

It is my opinion that perhaps as many as 99% of those ministers who use the term "Judeo-Christianity," or who state unequivocally that "Christianity evolved from Judaism", "the religion of the Jews," have never read, or even been exposed to the sixty-three books of the [Talmud](#). ¹⁶ I can say this because I believe the majority of our ministers to be sincere in their work for the LORD (although sometimes misled), and therefore I know that if they had ever read even a compendium of this lengthy work they would never use the term "Judeo-Christianity" again!

I will rest my case, and conclude with the following quotation which should prove in itself, the absolute fact that Judaism is 180 degrees from Christianity. You be the judge!

The following quotations are from a letter written by Benjamin H. Freedman, 960 Park Avenue, New York City, to Dr. David Goldstein, LL.D., Astor Post Office Station, Boston, Massachusetts, dated October 10, 1954. This is a 62-page letter, single-spaced, in which Mr. Freedman was responding to an article by Dr. Goldstein in a publication of an organization whose purpose was to promote "peace and goodwill to Israel." Dr. Goldstein had been a recent convert to Catholicism, and his article entitled "News & Views From Jews" had contained a statement that if it has not been for Judaism, there would not have been a Christian religion or the Catholic Church! It is statements such as this that has brought about the "Establishment" Church's love affair for the term "Judeo-Christianity." Mr. Freedman is also a converted Christian, and he is taking issue with the article written by Dr. Goldstein on the very point which we are making regarding the "fable" "Judeo-Christianity".

First, Mr. Freedman gives us the definition of the Talmud, by quoting Rabbi Morris N. Kertzer, "the most qualified authority at the time":

"The Talmud consists of 63 books of legal, ethical and historical writings of the ancient rabbis. It was edited five centuries after the birth of Jesus. It is a compendium of law and lore. **It is the legal code which forms the basis of Jewish religious law and it is the textbook used in the training of rabbis.** (emphasis added)

"Michael Rodkinson, . . . one of the world's great authorities on the Talmud, wrote 'History of the Talmud.' This great classic on the subject was written . . . in the collaboration with the celebrated Rabbi, Isaac M. Wise. In his 'History of the Talmud' Michael Rodkinson, on page 70, states:

¹⁵ I did this, incidentally, giving a copy to my wife, and several friends. They all said that it was anti-Semitic.

¹⁶ Copies cannot be purchased, therefore it is very inaccessible.

"Is the literature that Jesus was familiar with in his early years yet in existence in the world? Is it possible for us to get at it? Can we ourselves review the ideas, the statements, the modes of reasoning and thinking, **on moral and religious subjects**, which were current in his time, and **must have been resolved by him during those thirty silent years when he was pondering his future mission?** To such inquiries the learned class of Jewish rabbis **answer by holding up the Talmud.** Here, they say, is **the source from whence Jesus of Nazareth drew the teachings which enabled him to revolutionize the world:** and the question becomes, therefore, an interesting one to every Christian. What is the Talmud? **The Talmud, then is the written form of that which, in the time of Jesus was called 'The Traditions Of The Elders' and to which he makes frequent allusions.**¹⁷ What sort of book is it?' (emphasis added)

"Stimulated by that invitation every Christian worthy of the name should immediately take the trouble to seek the answer to that 'interesting' question to every Christian."

This 62-page letter to Dr. Goldstein was put into booklet form in 1955, by Benjamin H. Freedman, entitled "**Facts Are Facts.**"¹⁸ On page 22, Mr. Freedman has this to say about the Talmud:

"From the birth of Jesus until this day there have never been recorded more vicious and vile libelous blasphemies of Jesus, or Christians and the Christian faith by anyone, anywhere or anytime than you will find between the covers of the infamous '63 books' which are '**the legal code which forms the basis of Jewish religious law**' as well as the '**textbook used in the training of the rabbis.**' The explicit and implicit irreligious character and implications of the contents of the Talmud will open your eyes as they have never been opened before. The Talmud reviles Jesus, Christians and the Christian faith as the priceless spiritual and cultural heritage of Christians has never been reviled before or since the Talmud was completed in the 5th century. You will have to excuse the foul, obscene, indecent, lewd and vile language you will see here as verbatim quotations from the official unabridged translation of the Talmud into English. Be prepared for a surprise.

"In the year 1935 the international hierarchy of so-called or self-styled "Jews" for the first time in history published an official unabridged translation of the complete Talmud in the English language with complete footnotes. What possessed them to make this translation into English is one of the unsolved mysteries. It was probably done because so many so-called or self-styled "Jews" of the younger generation were unable to read the Talmud in the many ancient languages in which the original '63 books' of the Talmud were first composed by their authors in many lands between 200 B.C. and 500 A.D.

"The international hierarchy of so-called or self-styled "Jews" selected the most learned scholars to make this official translation of the Talmud into English. These famous scholars also prepared official footnotes explaining passages of the Talmud where they were required. This official unabridged translation of the Talmud into

¹⁷ They are not "allusions". Jesus made forthright castigations of these teachings. Matthew 16:6,12.

¹⁸ For copies of the book, the following address is given: CPA Book Publisher, P. O. Box 596, Boring, OR 97009. Published by: First Century Christian Ministries at <http://www.angloisrael.com>

English with the official footnotes was printed in London in 1935 by the Soncino Press. It has been always referred to as the Soncino Edition of the Talmud. A very limited number of the Soncino Edition of the Talmud is to be **found in the Library of Congress and the New York Public Library**. A set of the Soncino Edition of the Talmud has been available to me for many years. They have become rare 'collectors' items by now . . . Christians will some day challenge the assertion that the Talmud is the 'sort of book' from which Jesus allegedly 'drew the teaching which enabled him to revolutionize the world' on 'moral and religious subjects.' The rumbling is already heard in **places**.¹⁹

Mr. Freedman then proceeds to quote several pages taken from the Talmud to Dr. Goldstein. However, only several will be quoted here in the hope that they will cause you to take Mr. Freedman's admonition to read more of the Talmud and then decide whether your Christianity is "Judeo-Christianity" or not! Here are the quotes and where they are found in the Talmud:

(Book) YEBAMOTH, 103a, 103b:

"When the serpent copulated with Eve¹⁴ he infused her¹⁵ with lust. The lust of the Israelites who stood at Mount Sinai¹⁶ came to an end, the lust of idolaters who did not stand at Mount Sinai did not come to an end."

(Footnotes) ¹⁴- "In the Garden of Eden, according to tradition."

¹⁵- "i.e., the human species."

¹⁶- "And experienced the purifying influence of divine Revelation."

(Book) YEBAMOTH, 63a:

"R. Eleazar further stated: What is meant by the Scriptural text, This is now bone of my bones, and flesh of my flesh⁵? **This teaches that Adam had intercourse with every beast and animal but found no satisfaction until he cohabited with Eve.**"

(Footnotes) ⁵- "Gen. II:23. emphasis on This is now." (emphasis appears in original Soncino edition. E.)"

(Book) YEBAMOTH, 60b:

"R. Joshua / B. Levi related: 'There was a certain town in the Land of Israel the legitimacy of whose inhabitants was disputed, and Rabbi sent R. Ramanos who conducted an inquiry and found in it the daughter of a proselyte¹³ **under the age of three and one day¹⁴** and Rabbi **declared her eligible to live with a priest¹⁵.**"

(Footnotes)

¹³- **"A proselyte under the age of three years and one day may be married by a priest."**

¹⁴- "And was married to a priest."

¹⁵- "i.e., **permitted to continue to live with her husband.**"

(Book) KETHUBOTH, 11a, 11b.

"Raba said, It means⁵ this: When a grown up man has intercourse with a little girl it is nothing, for when the girl is less than this⁶, it is as if one puts the finger in the eye⁷, but when a small boy has intercourse with a grown up woman, he makes her as a girl who is injured by a piece of wood."

¹⁹ This book was published some 35 years ago. The rumbles must have been muffled!

(Footnotes)

⁵ - "Lit., 'says.'"

⁶ - "Lit., 'here', that is, less than three years old.

⁷ - "Tears come to the eyes again and again, so does virginity come back to the little girl under three years.

(Book) YEBAMOTH, 59b.

"When R. Dimi came⁸ he related: It once happened at Haitalu⁹ that while a young woman was sweeping the floor¹⁰ a village dog¹¹ covered her from the rear¹² and Rabbi permitted her to marry a priest. Samuel said: 'Even a High Priest'."

(Footnotes) ⁸ - "From Palestine to Babylon."

⁹ - "(Babylonian form for Aitulu, modern Aiterun N.W. of Kadesh, v. S. Klein, Beitrage, p.47)"

¹⁰ - "Lit., 'house'"

¹¹ - "Or 'big hunting dog' (Rashi), 'ferocious dog' (Jast.)."

¹² - "A case of unnatural intercourse."

"After reading these verbatim quotations from the countless other similar quotations which you will find in the official unabridged Soncino Edition of the Talmud in the English language are you of the opinion, my dear Dr. Goldstein, that the Talmud was the 'sort of book' from which Jesus 'drew the teachings which enabled him to revolutionize the world' on 'moral and religious subjects'? You have read here verbatim quotations and official . . . footnotes on a few of the many other subjects covered by the '63 books' of the Talmud. When you read them you must be prepared for a shock. I am surprised that the United States Post Office does not bar the Talmud from the mails. I hesitated to quote them in this letter."

On the facing last page of the booklet, Mr. Freedman reproduced the frontispiece, and page 58 of the book KETHUBOTH, which has a portion of the quotation above pertaining to a young boy having intercourse with a grown woman, stating "it makes her as though she were injured by a piece of wood."

Folks, isn't it easy for you to see now who benefits from statements such as "our JUDEO-CHRISTIANITY," "JESUS WAS THE GREATEST JEW", and that THE TALMUD IS "THE SORT OF BOOK FROM WHICH JESUS CHRIST REVOLUTIONIZED THE WORLD"? Isn't it clear to you now who promotes these fables, and who benefits from them? Jesus Christ and Christianity certainly do not! On the cover of the book "Facts are Facts" from which we have just quoted, Mr. Freedman quotes a scripture from Galatians:

"Am I therefore become your enemy, because I tell you the truth?" (Galatians 4:16)

So I ask you, dear Christian, "am I therefore become your enemy, because I tell you the truth", that you should "beware of Jewish fables"? This is GOD's admonition to you, and not mine -- although I wholeheartedly concur!

"Truth is visible when honest men seek her diligently."

G. F. Green

"No heart is pure that is not passionate:
No virtue is safe that is not enthusiastic."
Seeley